



Agenda

- ◆ Recognition of mining assets in Financial Statements
- ◆ SMOG – methodology
- ◆ SMOG – calculations & comparisons
- ◆ SMOG – primary conclusions



Recognition of mining assets in Financial Statements

- ◆ Historical cost approach
 - in case of mining assets non consistent valuation dependent on a acquisition method
- ◆ Market valuation approach
 - in case of mining assets difficult to apply due to lack of data



Recognition of mining assets in Financial Statements

- ◆ Proved reserve quantities
- ◆ Capitalised costs relating to exploration and development
- ◆ Costs incurred for property acquisition, exploration, and development activities
- ◆ Results of operations for mining activities (if these are defined as separate segments)
- ◆ A standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows relating to proved oil and gas (US GAAP only)



SMOG - methodology

A methodology prescribed by US GAAP requires Companies to adopt several key assumptions which are listed below :

- ◆ a rate and time of reserves depletion is assumed by the reporting company,
- ◆ prices applied are year-end prices of oil and gas - future price changes shall be considered only to the extent provided by contractual arrangements in existence at year-end,
- ◆ costs should be computed by estimating the expenditures to be incurred in developing and producing the proved oil and gas reserves at the end of the year, based on year-end costs and assuming continuation of existing economic conditions,
- ◆ a fixed 10% discount rate is applied,
- ◆ the appropriate year-end statutory tax rates, with consideration of future tax rates already legislated, less the tax basis of the properties involved, should be applied.

SMOG – calculations & comparisons

Company	Year	MV ^[1]	BV ^[2]	SMOG ^[3] 1	MV-BV	SMO G	P.Y. SMOG ^[4]
						as % of (MV- BV)	as % of (MV-BV)
		mo USD					
BP	2006	218 192	86 517	90 600	131 675	69%	97%
BP	2005	221 099	85 147	128 200	135 952	94%	65%
BP	2004	209 520	85 092	88 500	124 428	71%	65%
BP	2003	181 958	79 167	80 500	102 791	78%	74%
BP	2002	151 615	66 636	76 500	84 979	90%	52%
BP	2001	173 916	62 322	44 500	111 594	40%	
BP	2000	172 671	65 554	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

27/02/2008

Robert Uberman

SMOG – calculations & comparisons

Company	Year	Oil and Gas Reserves		Capitalised E&D costs	SMOG		Capitalised costs as % SMOG	SMOG Premium over cap. E&D costs
		Oil (mo barrels)	Natural gas (mo sq ft)		mo USD			
BP	2006	9 781	45 931	60 906	90 600	67%	29 694	
BP	2005	9 565	48 304	55 977	128 200	44%	72 223	
BP	2004	9 934	48 507	53 459	88 500	60%	35 041	
BP	2003	10 081	48 024	50 975	80 500	63%	29 525	
BP	2002	9 165	48 789	53 125	76 500	69%	23 375	
BP	2001	8 376	46 175	50 740	44 500	114%	- 6 240	
BP	2000	7 643	43 918	48 745	N/A	N/A	N/A	

27/02/2008

Robert Uberman



SMOG – primary conclusions

- ◆ Financial reporting of mining companies system lacks clear representation of their mineral assets not only in Poland but even in the leading mining countries
- ◆ SMOG, if made more flexible, hence adoptable to changing environment, may be a valuable tool for stakeholders
- ◆ There is no obstacle in expanding SMOG use to other minerals